1 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA 2 ALEXANDRIA DIVISION 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) Case 1:16-cr-00064 4 Plaintiff, 5 Alexandria, Virginia v. October 24, 2016 MAHMOUD AMIN MOHAMED 8:47 a.m. ELHASSAN, 7 Defendant. 8 Pages 1 - 28 9 TRANSCRIPT OF CHANGE OF PLEA 10 BEFORE THE HONORABLE ANTHONY J. TRENGA 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 2.4 25 COMPUTERIZED TRANSCRIPTION OF STENOGRAPHIC NOTES Rhonda F. Montgomery OCR-USDC/EDVA (703) 299-4599

```
2
 1
   APPEARANCES:
  FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
 3
        DENNIS M. FITZPATRICK, ESQUIRE
        OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
 4
        2100 Jamieson Avenue
        Alexandria, Virginia 22314
 5
         (703) 299-3700
 6
  FOR THE DEFENDANT:
 7
        STUART A. SEARS, ESQUIRE
        SCHERTLER & ONORATO, LLP
 8
        575 7th Street, N.W.
        Suite 300 South
 9
        Washington, D.C. 20004
10
        THOMAS A. DURKIN, ESQUIRE
        DURKIN & ROBERTS
11
        2446 North Clark Street
        Chicago, Illinois 60614
12
        (312) 981-0123
  THE DEFENDANT, MAHMOUD AMIN MOHAMED ELHASSAN, IN PERSON
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
     Rhonda F. Montgomery OCR-USDC/EDVA (703) 299-4599
```

(703) 299-4599

```
THE CLERK: Criminal Case 1:16-cr-64, United
 1
   States of America v. Mahmoud Amin Mohamed Elhassan.
 2
 3
             Will counsel please identify themselves for
 4
   the record.
 5
             MR. FITZPATRICK: Thank you.
             Good morning, Your Honor. Dennis Fitzpatrick
 6
 7
  on behalf of the United States.
 8
             THE COURT: Good morning.
 9
             MR. DURKIN: Good morning, Judge. Tom Durkin
10
  and Stuart Sears on behalf of the defendant, who is
11
  present and in custody.
12
             THE COURT: Good morning.
13
             I understand we're here for a change in plea
  as to Counts 2 and 3. Is that correct?
15
             MR. DURKIN: That's correct, Judge.
16
             THE COURT: With no plea agreement and no
17
  statement of facts?
18
             MR. DURKIN: That's right. We filed a
19
  written motion, Document 39, on October 21. There's
  one maybe housekeeping matter. When I filed the
  motion, since he was in custody, I just had his
  electronic signature, Judge. I went to the jail over
22
23
  the weekend. I have his signed affidavit. And I just
24 Inoticed that I had it for a notary, but I'm not a
25
  notary. I'm wondering if we can swear him or --
```

```
THE COURT: I'll have him swear to the
 1
 2
   affidavit.
 3
             MR. DURKIN: I'll tender this to your clerk.
 4
             THE COURT: All right. Mr. Fitzpatrick, I
 5
  understand the government intends to go forward on
   Count 1.
             Is that correct?
 6
 7
             MR. FITZPATRICK: That's the government's
  intention, Your Honor, yes.
 8
 9
             THE COURT: All right. Mr. Elhassan, come to
10
   the podium and be sworn, please.
11
        (The defendant affirms.)
12
             THE COURT: Would you state your full name,
13
  please.
14
             THE DEFENDANT: My name is Mahmoud Amin
15
  Mohamed Elhassan.
16
             THE COURT: All right. Mr. Elhassan, you may
17
  lower your right hand.
18
             The purpose of this hearing is to give you
19
  the opportunity to enter a plea of guilty to the charge
   in Count 2 of the indictment charging attempt to
20
  provide material support to a foreign terrorist
22
  organization and also Count 3 which charges you with
23
  providing false statements. If you enter such a plea,
  It will be the responsibility of this Court to ensure
25
  Ithat your plea is entered voluntarily, that is that no
```

```
one is forcing you to enter those guilty pleas, and
  also that you enter those pleas knowingly, that is that
  you understand the consequences of pleading guilty.
4
             In order for the Court to make those
  determinations, I'm going to ask you a series of
5
6
  questions. For that purpose, you've been placed under
7
         Having been placed under oath, you have the
  obligation to answer all of the Court's questions
  Itruthfully. If any of your answers prove to be untrue,
  you may be subjecting yourself to additional criminal
11
  penalties.
12
             Do you understand that?
13
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
14
             THE COURT: What is your age?
15
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Twenty-six.
16
             THE COURT: What is your highest level of
  formal education?
17
18
             THE DEFENDANT: I was a student at Northern
19
  Virginia Community College.
20
             THE COURT: You're a citizen of the United
  States?
21
22
                                  I'm a green card holder.
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             No.
23
             THE COURT: Of what country are you a
2.4
  citizen?
25
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Sudan.
     Rhonda F. Montgomery OCR-USDC/EDVA
                                         (703) 299-4599
```

```
1
             THE COURT: And you're a permanent resident
2
  here?
 3
             THE DEFENDANT:
 4
             THE COURT: You've been represented by a
5
  lawyer in connection with this case? Are you
  represented by a lawyer?
6
7
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes.
             THE COURT: Have you met with your lawyer?
8
9
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
10
             THE COURT: Have you discussed with your
11
   lawyer the charge against you and what the government
12
  must prove in order to convict you of that charge?
13
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
14
             THE COURT: Have you discussed with your
  lawyer the consequences of pleading guilty?
15
16
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
17
             THE COURT: Have you discussed with your
18
  lawyer potential defenses and the merits of those
19
  potential defenses?
20
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
21
             THE COURT: Have you provided to your lawyer
22
  all the facts and information you have pertaining to
23
  these charges?
2.4
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
25
             THE COURT: Have you understood everything
     Rhonda F. Montgomery OCR-USDC/EDVA (703) 299-4599
```

(703) 299-4599

```
your lawyer has told you?
1
2
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 3
             THE COURT: Have you had any difficulty
4
  understanding anything your lawyer has told you,
5
  anything about these charges against you, or anything
  about the nature of these proceedings, including why
7
  you're in court here today?
             THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
8
9
             THE COURT: Have you been satisfied with the
10
  services of your lawyer?
11
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
12
             THE COURT: Do you think you've had enough
13
  Itime to meet with your lawyer and discuss whether or
  not you should be entering guilty pleas here today?
15
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes.
16
             THE COURT: Do you think you've had enough
  Itime?
17
18
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes.
19
             THE COURT: Do you understand that by
  pleading guilty to these two charges, the government
  nevertheless intends to go forward with the charge in
22
   Count 1 of the indictment which charges conspiracy to
  provide material support to a foreign terrorist
2.4
  organization? Do you understand that?
25
             THE DEFENDANT:
```

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

```
THE COURT: It's your desire to enter guilty
   pleas to these two counts even though you face trial on
  the remaining Count 1? Do you understand that?
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.
             THE COURT: Have you been under the influence
   of any drugs or medication or any other substance
   that's affected your ability to understand anything
  about these charges or anything about these
  proceedings?
             THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Are you currently under the care
  of any mental health professional?
             THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Counsel, based on everything you
  know, is Mr. Elhassan competent to enter a quilty plea
  here today?
             MR. DURKIN: Yes, Judge.
                         I understand that you have not
             THE COURT:
  entered into any plea agreements with the United
  States. Is that correct?
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             That's correct.
             THE COURT: Do you think you have any
  agreements or promises or understandings from the
24 United States with respect to the entry of your guilty
  pleas?
```

```
1
             THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
 2
             THE COURT: Have you discussed with your
 3
  lawyer the constitutional rights you have as someone
   charged with a crime and that you would give up those
4
5
  rights as to Counts 2 and 3 based on your guilty pleas?
6
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes.
7
             THE COURT: You have the absolute right to
  proceed to a public and speedy trial on your pleas of
  not quilty before a jury of 12 United States citizens.
  Do you understand that?
10
11
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes.
12
             THE COURT:
                         In order to convict you of these
13
  charges, that jury must unanimously find you guilty of
14
  these charges. Do you understand that?
15
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.
16
             THE COURT: At that trial, you would be
17
  entitled to be represented by a lawyer. If you could
18
  not afford one, one would be appointed for you. Do you
19
  understand that?
20
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, I understand.
21
             THE COURT: Also, at that trial, you would be
22
  presumed innocent of these charges, and the government
  would have the obligation of proving each and every
  element of these charges beyond a reasonable doubt.
                                                        Dο
25
  you understand that?
```

```
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.
1
2
             THE COURT: Also, at that trial, you and your
 3
  lawyer would have the right to confront any witnesses
   that the government presented, to cross-examine those
5
  witnesses, and to challenge the admissibility of any
  evidence that the government offered. Do you
6
7
  understand?
8
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.
9
             THE COURT: Also, at that trial, you would be
  entitled to present your own defense, and that would
  linclude the right to require any person with relevant
  information to be brought into court and to bring with
13
  him or her any documents relevant to these charges.
14
  you understand?
15
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
16
             THE COURT: Also, as part of your defense,
17 Iyou could testify yourself at that trial. You could
18
  Itake the stand, be placed under oath, and testify
19
  subject to cross-examination, but you would have
  absolutely no obligation to testify. You could remain
21
  silent in the face of these charges, and if you decided
22
  not to testify, the government could not force you to
  testify or to incriminate yourself in any way. Do you
  understand that?
2.4
25
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.
```

```
THE COURT: Also, if you decided not to
1
   testify, no inference of guilt could be inferred from
  the fact that you decided not to testify. You would
   continue to be presumed innocent of this charge, and
5
  the government would continue to have the obligation of
  proving these charges beyond a reasonable doubt.
7
  you understand that?
8
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.
9
             THE COURT: Also, if after you went to trial,
  ∥if you were convicted, you would have the right to
11
  appeal that conviction to a higher court together with
  your sentence. Do you understand that?
13
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes.
14
             THE COURT: By pleading guilty to these
15
  charges, there will be no trial with respect to these
  two charges, and you will be convicted of these two
17
  charges just as if you had gone to trial and were
18
  convicted by a jury. Do you understand that?
19
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.
20
             THE COURT: Also, do you understand that any
21
  statements you make in connection with these two
  charges and your admission of any facts as set forth in
22
  your affidavit would be admissible against you with
  respect to the remaining count that's charged against
25
  you? Do you understand that?
```

```
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.
1
 2
             THE COURT: Having heard all of these rights
 3
  that you have and that you would give up these rights
  by pleading guilty, is it still your decision here
4
5
  today to enter a guilty plea?
6
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes.
7
             THE COURT:
                         In addition to the waiver of the
  rights that I've mentioned, there will be other
8
  collateral consequences, including a forfeiture of any
9
10
  right you might have to vote, hold public office, serve
11
  on a jury, and possess a firearm. Do you understand
12
  that?
13
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do understand.
14
             THE COURT: Do you also understand that your
  quilty plea will be binding on you for the purposes of
15
16
  any immigration proceedings? Do you understand that?
17
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18
             THE COURT: Do you understand that your
19
  guilty pleas here will have immigration consequences?
20
  Do you understand?
             THE DEFENDANT:
21
                             Yes.
22
             THE COURT: Is it your decision here today to
23
  enter guilty pleas to these charges regardless of the
2.4
  immigration consequences?
25
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes, sir.
```

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

22

2.4

```
THE COURT: Have you discussed with your
counsel how the Court would go about deciding what
sentence to impose based on the guilty pleas that you
would enter here today? Have you discussed the
sentence process here?
          THE DEFENDANT:
                          Yes.
          THE COURT: Have you discussed what we call
the quideline sentences?
          THE DEFENDANT:
                         Yes.
          THE COURT: As that name suggests, those are
only quidelines.
                  The Court is not obligated to enter a
quideline sentence. It may enter a quideline sentence,
or it may enter a sentence greater than the guidelines
or less than the guidelines obligated only to enter a
punishment within the maximum punishments for these
offenses. Do you understand that?
          THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
          THE COURT: With respect to Count 2 of the
indictment charging attempt to provide material
support, do you understand that the maximum punishment
you could receive is up to 20 years, a fine of up to
$250,000, a special assessment of $100, and a period of
supervised release of up to life? Do you understand
that?
          THE DEFENDANT:
```

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

```
THE COURT: Do you understand that with
respect to Count 3 charging false statements, the
maximum punishment you could receive is 8 years, a fine
of up to $250,000, a special assessment of $100, and a
period of supervised release of up to 3 years? Do you
understand that?
          THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
                      Supervised release, as mentioned
          THE COURT:
in those sentences that I just mentioned, is in
addition to any term of imprisonment that might be
limposed. It would begin after your release from any
Iterm of imprisonment that's imposed, and there would be
certain conditions that you would have to comply with.
III you failed to comply with those conditions, you
could be imprisoned for all or a portion of those
periods of supervised release. Do you understand?
          THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.
          THE COURT: Also, we abolished parole within
the federal system so that you will, in fact, serve the
full length of any term of imprisonment that's imposed
subject to only the possibility of a reduction up to
50 percent of your sentence based on the good behavior.
Do you understand that?
          THE DEFENDANT:
                          Yes.
          THE COURT: Also, with respect to the
```

sentencing guidelines, the Court is not going to be able to finally decide what the sentencing quideline is until after it receives a presentence report and you and your lawyer and the government have had an 5 opportunity to review that report and object to any information in it. Do you understand that? 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Also, the Court is not going to 8 9 be bound by any recommendations with respect to your 10 sentence. Only the Court will decide what sentence to 11 impose. Do you understand that? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: So that if you receive a sentence 13 that is different than what the government recommends 15 or what your lawyer recommends or if you receive a sentence that is different than someone told you you're 17 likely to receive or that you're expecting or if you 18 receive a sentence that you just think is unfair in 19 some way, you nevertheless are going to be bound by your guilty pleas, and you will not be permitted to withdraw your guilty pleas after you hear what the 22 sentence is. Do you understand that? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 2.4 In a moment, I'm going to ask the THE COURT: 25 government to tell the Court what evidence it would

present with respect to these charges. I want you to listen carefully to what the government tells the Court because after the government is done, I'm going to ask you whether you disagree with what the government has told the Court about your conduct.

Mr. Fitzpatrick.

2.4

MR. FITZPATRICK: Thank you, Your Honor.

Your Honor, the Islamic State, which is what they call themselves -- the government refers to them as ISIL or ISIS -- is a specifically designated foreign terrorist organization.

The defendant's role in this case essentially began in 2015. The government knows that he began visiting websites that were jihadist in nature, violent, expressing direct antagonism towards the United States.

Pursuant to his Internet activities, he met someone who had a rather high profile and who portrayed himself as having connections with people within the terrorist organization who could facilitate travel to Syria and Iraq in order to join the terrorist organization. The defendant contacted this person and sort of developed a friendship with this person.

In or about August 2015, the defendant had already been friends, acquaintances with Joseph

```
Mr. Farrokh was married in August 2015. He
  Farrokh.
  invited the defendant to his wedding reception. The
  defendant asked if he could bring along a friend, the
   person who was familiar with recruiting efforts.
  Mr. Farrokh said yes. The defendant and Mr. Farrokh
5
  had previously had discussions about joining the ISIL
7
  cause and going over to help ISIL.
             The defendant and the third individual went
8
9
  to Mr. Farrokh's wedding. The defendant introduced
10 Mr. Farrokh to this individual, and they had
  preliminary discussions about joining ISIL and
12 Ifacilitating Mr. Farrokh's travel overseas to join
13
  ISIL.
14
             Between August and January -- August 2015 and
  January 2016, the defendant and Mr. Farrokh had
  numerous conversations about the upcoming travel and
  the preparations and what Mr. Farrokh would do there.
17
18
  A number of the conversations were violent in nature
19
  expressing a desire to go over there and chop heads,
  fight against the U.S. military, etc.
21
             The defendant throughout this four- or
22
  five-month period counseled Mr. Farrokh, and they had
  general discussions about the travel. The plan that
23
  they formulated would be that Mr. Farrokh would go to
25
  Syria first. And once he successfully made it there,
```

```
Mr. Elhassan would soon follow and join him.
  Mr. Farrokh paid Mr. Elhassan some money before he left
  to help Mr. Elhassan go through with his future travel.
4
             On January 1, 2016, the defendant had a
5
  conversation with a third individual in which he
  expressed his understanding of Mr. Farrokh's desire to
7
  travel.
          He understood what Mr. Farrokh's plan was to
  linclude that Mr. Farrokh was going to tell his family
  that he intended to go to Saudi Arabia to study
10
  religion. That was false, and Mr. Elhassan knew that
   that was part of the plan, to sort of create a false
  narrative of where Mr. Farrokh was going to conceal his
13
  future travel plans.
14
             On or about January 15, 2016, at
  approximately 8:00 in the morning, the defendant picked
15
  up Mr. Farrokh from his residence in Woodbridge.
17
  Mr. Elhassan was driving his taxicab. Mr. Farrokh
18
  carried two bags with him. He got in Mr. Elhassan's
19
  car, and they proceeded south on Route 95.
20
             They stopped shortly after they began their
21
  trip so Mr. Farrokh could enter a restroom where he
  shaved his beard. He did this in order to appear less
22
23
  conspicuous when he began his travel.
2.4
             They arrived in Richmond significantly early
25
  for Mr. Farrokh's flight, so they went to a nearby
```

shopping center about a mile from the Richmond International Airport. They loitered there for a couple of hours visiting shops. All of this, Your Honor, was under the surveillance of the FBI.

5

6

7

9

10

13

15

17

18

19

20

22

25

At the end of their time at the shopping mall, Mr. Elhassan called another cab to come pick up Mr. Farrokh so as to conceal Mr. Elhassan's involvement in going to the airport.

The other cab arrived, took Mr. Farrokh to the airport. Once Mr. Farrokh passed through the security checkpoints and was heading towards his gate, 12 he was arrested.

The defendant proceeded north on 95 ultimately arriving at the Potomac Mills Shopping Mall in Woodbridge. There he was confronted by FBI agents. He voluntarily agreed to speak to them in the food The defendant was told several times that court area. lying to the FBI was a federal offense. He was given notice that if he should lie, he would be subject to criminal penalties. During an approximately two-hour, ||if not longer, interview, the defendant made numerous false statements to the FBI. The defendant stated that Mr. Farrokh was traveling out of Dulles Airport, that his intention was to go to California to attend a Ifamily funeral. He said that the last time he had seen

```
Mr. Farrokh was in Woodbridge, Virginia, thereby
  denying that he drove him to Richmond.
2
 3
             In substantial part, Your Honor, that would
  have been the government's evidence in this case, among
4
  other evidence.
5
6
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
7
             Mr. Elhassan, would you come to the podium,
  please.
8
9
             MR. DURKIN: Judge, could I speak to him?
10
             THE COURT:
                         Yes.
11
        (Defense counsel and the defendant confer.)
12
             MR. DURKIN: Judge, if I could, we don't
13
  dispute that the government's witnesses would testify
14
  lin the manner that he just described. The only factual
  dispute we have had is over whether he was going to go
  overseas himself. It's a dispute that we don't think
17
  is material to the pleas he's entering.
18
             Mr. Sears and I believe this is truly an
19
  aiding and abetting case. That's why he's pleading to
   Count 2, because that does charge Section 280, and we
21
  don't dispute Count 3.
22
             The rest of that -- obviously, I was only
  taking notes. As long as there's an understanding,
  that would be the only factual dispute we have.
25
  many ways, it's a dispute that I would prefer not to
```

(703) 299-4599

```
have to get into today, and I don't think it's material
2
   to --
 3
             THE COURT: Other than any facts that were
4
  recited pertaining to whether or not he would go
5
  overseas, I take it Mr. Elhassan is prepared to not
  dispute the balance of the recitation?
6
7
             MR. DURKIN: I believe that's the case.
        (Defense counsel and the defendant confer.)
8
9
             MR. DURKIN: That's correct, Your Honor.
10
             THE COURT: All right. Mr. Elhassan, would
11
  you come forward, please.
12
             I understand from your counsel that you
13
  dispute any claim or any recitation that you had agreed
  to go overseas yourself or that you indicated you would
15
  do so. Other than any of the facts that
  Mr. Fitzpatrick mentioned relating to that issue, do
17
  you dispute anything else that the government has told
  the Court?
18
19
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             No, Your Honor.
20
             THE COURT:
                         I understand that you have also
21
  signed an affidavit under oath. Is that correct?
22
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes.
23
             THE COURT: Do you have a copy of that in
2.4
  front of you?
25
             THE DEFENDANT:
```

```
1
             THE COURT: Does your signature appear on
2
   that document?
 3
             MR. DURKIN: It does, Judge.
 4
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes.
5
             THE COURT: You signed that document?
             THE DEFENDANT:
6
                             Yes.
7
             THE COURT: Did you read that document?
8
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
9
             THE COURT: Did you understand everything in
  that document?
10
11
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
12
             THE COURT: Did you have all of your
13
  questions answered about that document?
14
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
15
             THE COURT: Did anyone threaten you or try to
  linfluence you in any way in to signing that affidavit
17
  against your will?
18
             THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
19
             THE COURT: Are the statements in this
  affidavit true and correct?
21
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
22
             THE COURT: I understand from your affidavit
  Ithat you are also admitting to the allegations set
24 Iforth in Counts 2 and 3 of the indictment. Is that
25
  correct?
```

(703) 299-4599

```
1
             THE DEFENDANT:
                              That is correct.
 2
             THE COURT: Have you reviewed the indictment,
 3
   those counts?
 4
             THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
5
             THE COURT: You understood the statements in
   those counts; is that correct?
6
7
             THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
8
                         In Count 2 of the indictment, it
             THE COURT:
9
  states that you know that ISIL was a designated foreign
10
  terrorist organization, that ISIL engages and has
11
   engaged in terrorist activity, and that ISIL engages
12
  and has engaged in terrorism.
13
             Do you agree that that is a correct statement
  as to your knowledge?
15
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
16
             THE COURT: Also, Count 2 alleges that you
17
  aided and abetted by, among other things, introducing
18
  Mr. Farrokh in or about August 2015 to an individual
19
  that you believed could facilitate Farrokh's travels to
20
   the Islamic State so that this individual could, in
21
  fact, facilitate Farrokh's travel to an Islamic State.
22
             Is that, in fact, a true statement?
23
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
2.4
                         It also alleges that you drove
             THE COURT:
25
  Farrokh from Farrokh's home to Richmond, Virginia, on
```

```
January 15, 2016, so that Farrokh could embark on his
   travel to the Islamic State. Is that, in fact, a true
 3
  statement?
4
                             That is a true statement.
             THE DEFENDANT:
5
             THE COURT: It also alleges that you made
  false statements to the FBI about Farrokh's travel on
6
7
  January 15, 2016, in order to hinder the government's
  investigation of Farrokh's travel. Is that a true
9
  statement?
10
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             That is a true statement.
11
             THE COURT: In Count 3, it is alleged that
12 you made false, fictitious, and fraudulent statements
13
  to the federal government, specifically that
  Mr. Farrokh had flown out of Dulles Airport earlier
15
  that day on a flight to California to attend a funeral.
  Is that a true statement?
17
                             It is a true statement.
             THE DEFENDANT:
18
             THE COURT: You also falsely stated that
19
  Farrokh had said he would be back in about two weeks.
20
   Is that a true statement?
21
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             That's true.
22
             THE COURT: You also falsely stated that you,
  nor Farrokh, supported the Islamic State. Is that a
2.4
  Itrue statement?
25
                             That is a true statement.
             THE DEFENDANT:
```

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.4

25

(703) 299-4599

```
THE COURT: You also stated, as alleged, that
neither you nor Farrokh ever tried to find someone to
help him get to the Islamic State. Is that, in fact, a
 true statement?
          THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
          THE COURT: And is it the case that you are
pleading guilty to Counts 2 and 3 of the indictment
because you are, in fact, guilty of what you've been
charged with in those counts?
          THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
          THE COURT: Counsel, based on everything you
know, is there an adequate factual basis for the pleas
as to Counts 2 and 3?
          MR. DURKIN: Yes, Judge.
                      Before pleading further to
          THE COURT:
Counts 2 and 3 of the indictment, would you like to
speak with your lawyer any further?
          THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
          THE COURT: With respect to Count 2 of the
||indictment charging attempting to provide material
support to a foreign terrorist organization, in
violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section
2339B and 2, how do you plead? Guilty or not guilty?
                          I plead quilty.
          THE DEFENDANT:
                      With respect to Count 3 of the
          THE COURT:
```

```
lindictment charging making false statements, in
   violation of Title 18, United States Code,
3
  Section 1001, how do you plead? Guilty or not guilty?
 4
             THE DEFENDANT: I plead guilty.
5
             THE COURT: Let the record reflect that based
   on the responses of this defendant to the Court's
6
7
  questions and the representations of counsel for the
  government and for the defendant, it is the finding of
  this Court in the case of United States v. Mahmoud Amin
  Mohamed Elhassan that the defendant is fully competent
  and capable of entering an informed plea, that the
  defendant is aware of the nature of the charges and the
12
  consequences of the pleas, and that the pleas of guilty
13
  are knowing and voluntary pleas supported by an
15
  independent basis in fact containing each of the
  essential elements of those offenses. The pleas are,
17
  therefore, accepted, and the defendant is now adjudged
  guilty of Counts 2 and 3 of the indictment.
18
19
             I'm not going to set this matter down for
  sentencing until after disposition on Count 1.
21
             Between now and when we proceed to trial
22
   on --
23
             What's the trial date?
2.4
             MR. FITZPATRICK: January 23, Your Honor.
25
             THE COURT: -- January 23, you will be
```

```
remanded to the custody of the United States Marshals.
1
 2
             Is there anything further?
 3
             MR. FITZPATRICK: No, Your Honor.
 4
             THE COURT: Anything further, Counsel?
5
             MR. DURKIN: Judge, I'm hoping that Count 1
6
   can get resolved. That's our intent.
7
             THE COURT:
                         Well, I would encourage the
  government and the defense to continue the discussion.
8
9
             MR. DURKIN: There's one minor issue that I
  won't belabor right now. The government has actually
  been of some assistance, but it may reflect if we have
12 Ito try Count 1, which I hope we don't. We have a
13
   license from OFAC, Office of Foreign Assets Control.
  There's been a problem with my bank over that. I won't
  belabor it now. The government is well aware of that.
  In fact, they've been of some assistance, but there is
17
  a potential issue.
18
             THE COURT: Well, to the extent you need the
19
  Court's assistance or need the Court to consider
  something, obviously, file a motion. The Court will
21
  take it up expeditiously.
22
             MR. DURKIN: Thank you.
23
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
2.4
             Counsel is excused.
25
             The defendant is remanded.
```

```
The Court will take a brief recess before the
 1
 2
   Fluor matter.
 3
                        Time: 9:16 a.m.
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
         I certify that the foregoing is a true and
22
    accurate transcription of my stenographic notes.
23
24
                                            /s/
25
                              Rhonda F. Montgomery, CCR, RPR
     Rhonda F. Montgomery OCR-USDC/EDVA (703) 299-4599
```