New Study Examines Colorado’s Immigrants
*Poverty and High Welfare Usage Prevalent on State and National Levels*

WASHINGTON, DC (August 8, 2012) – A new report from the Center for Immigration Studies provides a detailed picture of immigrants (legal and illegal) in the United States and in Colorado. Using the latest Census Bureau data from 2010 and 2011, the study reveals Colorado’s immigrant population as one of the poorest and least-educated of the top immigrant receiving states.

“There is considerable concern in this country about issues like poverty and the large uninsured population. But what has generally not been acknowledged is the impact of immigration on these problems,” notes Steven Camarota, the Center’s Director of Research. “Absent a change in policy, 11 to 15 million new immigrants are likely to settle in this country in the next decade and may further exacerbate present problems.”

The report is online at http://cis.org/2012-profile-of-americas-foreign-born-population.

**Colorado’s Immigrants:**

Colorado’s immigrant population (legal and illegal) grew 34 percent (127,000) from 2000 to 2010. Nationally the immigrant population grew 28 percent over the same period. (Table 2, pg. 15)

Immigrants (legal and illegal) accounted for 10 percent of the state residents in 2010 and 10 percent of workers in the states. (Table 1, pg. 14 & Table 35, pg. 68)

Of Colorado immigrants and their U.S.-born children (under 18), 24 percent live in poverty compared to 11 percent of natives and their children. (Table 30, pg.61)

Immigrants and their U.S.-born children (under 18) account for 12 percent of the state’s overall population and 24 percent of all persons in poverty. (Table 32, pg. 63)

Of households headed by immigrants in Colorado, 37 percent used at least one major welfare program, primarily food assistance and Medicaid, compared to 16 percent of native-headed households. (Table 33, pg. 65)

Of Colorado immigrants and their U.S.-born children (under 18), 31 percent lack health insurance, compared to 12 percent of natives and their children (under 18). Immigrants and their children account for 26 percent of the state’s uninsured. (Tables 31 & 32, pgs. 62-63)

Colorado immigrants’ home ownership rate is 52 percent compared to 67 percent for natives. (Table 29, pg. 59)
The lower socio-economic status of Colorado’s immigrants is not because most are recent arrivals. Their average length of residence in the United States is 18 years. (Table 1, pg. 14)

One of the primary reasons immigrants in the state tend to be poor and access welfare programs at high rates is a large share arrive in the U.S. as adults with relatively low levels of education.

Of adult immigrants (25 to 65) in the state 41 percent have not completed high school, compared to 4 percent of natives. (Table 34, pg. 66)

Of immigrants in the state, 26 percent have at least a bachelor’s degree, compared to 45 percent of natives. (Table 34, pg. 66)

In 2010, 18 percent of public school students in Colorado were from immigrant households. Overall, one in five public students in the state speaks a language other than English at home. (Tables A3 & A4, pgs. 87-88)

**Illegal Immigrants in Colorado:**

Our best estimate is that 33 percent of Colorado immigrants are in the country illegally. Illegal immigrants and their U.S.-born children (under 18) account for 4 percent of the state’s overall population, 11 percent those in poverty, 16 percent of the uninsured and 7 percent of the school age population. (Tables 36, 37, 38 and 40, pgs. 70-74)

**National Figures:**

The number of immigrants (legal & illegal) in the country hit a new record of 40 million in 2010, a 28 percent increase over the total in 2000. (Table 2, pg. 15)

Immigrants (legal and illegal) account for 16 percent of all workers in the country. (Table 35, pg. 68)

In 2010, 23 percent of immigrants and their U.S.-born children (under 18) lived in poverty, compared to 13.5 percent of natives and their children. (Table 10, pg. 27)

Immigrants and their children accounted for one-fourth of all persons in poverty in the United States. (pg. 26)

Immigrants make significant progress the longer they live in the country. However, even immigrants who have lived in the United States for 20 years have not come close to closing the gap with natives. (Figure 5, pg. 46, pgs. 42-49).

*The poverty rate of adult immigrants who have lived in the United States for 20 years is 50 percent higher than that of adult natives. (Figure 5, pg. 46).*

*The share of households headed by an immigrant who has lived in the United States for 20 years using one or more welfare programs is nearly twice that of native-headed households. (Figure 5, pg. 46).*
The share of households headed by an immigrant who has lived in the United States for 20 years that are owner occupied is 22 percent lower than that of native households. (Figure 5, pg. 46).

**Data Source** The data for this backgrounder comes primarily from the public use files of the 2010 American Community Survey (ACS), and the March 2011 Current Population Survey (CPS). State specific information comes from a combined sample of the March 2010 and 2011 CPS as well as the 2010 ACS. In this report, the terms foreign born and immigrant are used synonymously. Immigrants are persons living in the United States who were not American citizens at birth. This includes naturalized American citizens, legal permanent residents (green card holders), illegal immigrants, and people on long-term temporary visas such as foreign students or guest workers.

The **Center for Immigration Studies** is an independent, non-partisan, non-profit, research organization. Since its founding in 1985, the Center has pursued a single mission – providing immigration policymakers, the academic community, news media, and concerned citizens with reliable information about the social, economic, environmental, security, and fiscal consequences of legal and illegal immigration into the United States.

####

*The share of households headed by an immigrant who has lived in the United States for 20 years that are owner occupied is 22 percent lower than that of native households. (Figure 5, pg. 46).*